The relation between the accretion process and the Faraday rotation of the jet of blazars

¹Naeun Shin,¹Minchul Kam², Jongho Park,¹Sascha Trippe

1 Seoul National University, Korea 2 Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Taiwan



N. Shin @ SNU, Korea

MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Data
- 3. Results & Discussion
- 4. Future

Introduction

Jet dynamics

Central Black hole

MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research

Jet dynamics Magnetic field Central Black hole

MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research





Multiwavelength Observation of Blazars



$$\chi_{\rm obs} - \chi_{\rm o} = \frac{e^3 \lambda^2}{8\pi^2 \epsilon_{\rm o} m^2 c^3} \int n_{\rm e} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{I} \not\equiv RM \lambda^2.$$

Rotation measure(RM) is integrated electron density and magnetic field along the line of sight.



$$RM \sim \int_{0}^{l} n_{e}(l) \cdot B_{\parallel}(l) dl$$
$$EVPA_{obs} = EVPA_{int} + RM\lambda^{2}$$
Inclination between two EVPAs

= Rotation Measure





 $RM \sim \int_{0}^{l} n_{e}(l) \cdot B_{\parallel}(l) dl$ $EVPA_{obs} = EVPA_{int} + RM\lambda^{2}$

EVPAs change even in one month -> Multi-frequency data needed to obtained in similar period



Find the relation between the jet and the accretion rate The jet \sim Accretion rate \sim Rotation power Measure **Electron density Magnetic field strength**



Find the relation between the jet and the accretion rate The jet \sim Accretion rate Rotation power Measure FSRQs BLOs **Electron density Magnetic field strength**

Statistical studies of FSRQs & BLOs using rotation measure

8~15GHz (Hovatta et al. 2012, Zavala & Taylor, 2004)



The inner region of the jet near the core Can be observed with higher frequency

Data & Targets

KVN monitoring program



We used monthly monitored data observed simultaneously at 22 (K), 43 (Q), and 86 (W) GHz by the Korean VLBI Network (KVN) from the Plasma-physics of Active Galactic Nuclei project (PaGaN).

7 FSRQs and 5 BLOs 3C279 **BL Lac** 3C345 **OJ287** 3C454.3 0235+164 NRA0150 0716+714 1749 + 096NRA0530 **CTA102** 1633 + 38

N. Shin @ SNU, Korea In 6 epoci

In 6 epochs from 2016 to 2018

Results

Result 1: FSRQs have larger RMs than BLOs

Result 2: Similar slope between FSRQ & BLO in freq - RM figure

Result 3: High accretion with large RM in FSRQ



N. Shin @ SNU, Korea



22 ~ 43 GHz: 2.7 / 43 ~ 86 GHz: 4.7 times larger BLOs have less surrounding materials -> less density, low B of the jet N. Shin @ SNU, Korea

RM of optical subclasses



K-S test for 22 ~ 43 GHz: p value = 0.30 K-S test for 43 ~ 86 GHz: p value = 0.02

In higher frequency, the two groups are significantly separated!

RM of optical subclasses



The median value of RM of FSRQs is larger than BLOs

- 43 ~ 86 GHz: <mark>4.7</mark>
- 22 ~ 43 GHz: <mark>2.7</mark>

8 ~ 15GHz : 1.4 times larger (Hovatta et al. 2012)

The gap of two groups become larger in a higher frequency. N. Shin @ SNU, Korea



- The difference increase along the frequency
- With higher frequency, we can observe the deeper region of the jet

(Geometric mean)



Result 1: FSRQs have larger RMs than BLOs

Result 2: Similar slope between FSRQ & BLO in freq – RM figure

Result 3: High accretion with large RM in FSRQ



- RMs of each targets are averaged for 6 epochs.
- Both show the increasing RMs with higher rest frame frequencies.



FSRQ: 0.0087 x + 3.25 Error: 0.018, 1.89

BLO: 0.0087 x + 3.04 Error: 0.03, 2.27

- FSRQs and BLOs show similar increase of RM as a function of frequency. (with large scatter)
- Need more data points!



FSRQs usually have larger RMs than BLOs -> because of a high redshift?

FSRQs are usually brighter than BLOs -> can be observed in higher redshift

Observed in higher rest frame frequency Observing deeper region of the jet -> have larger RM than BLOs



- 1) FSRQs and BLOs have similar trend of increase in freq – RM relation
- -> FSRQs and BLOs have similar magnetic field structure of the jet
- 2) Larger RM of FSRQs can be a red shift effect?

Result 1: FSRQs have larger RMs than BLOs

Result 2: Similar slope between FSRQ & BLO in freq - RM figure

Result 3: High accretion with large RM in FSRQ

Compare RMs with optical properties





- Both FSRQs and BLOs show random distribution between RM and BH mass
- BH masses are obtained with various methods



The disk luminosities in a unit of Eddington luminosity (Park & Trippe 2017)

While BLOs show a random distribution but FSRQs show a positive relation between RM and L/Ledd

Discussion: FSRQ & BLO

Mass of the BH : past accretion history

Disk Luminosity : current accretion rate

Electron density ↑ B strength ↑

Only in FSRQs

Future

Future 1: Increase the data frequency range

Sub-mm VLBI data is hard to get Blazars are usually very compact Most of polarization flux in the beam size is originated from the core <u>Single dish data is also feasible</u>

Future 1: Increase the data frequency range

Current KVN frequency band

22, 43, 86, 129 GHz

MOJAVE Project Archeive

Calibration finished

15GHz

Future 1: Increase the data frequency range

22, 43, 86, 129 GHz

e-KVN: 230GHz

SMA: 230 & 345GHz JCMT: 352GHz

AGN Jet Workshop 2020 Future 1: Increase the data frequency range



- Increased numbers of the data points
 -> get smaller scatter
- More data in higher frequency
 -> find the behavior of FSRQs and BLOs in wide frequency range

Future2 : The advanced sample set with limited z



- Increase the sample set
- Select targets in similar redshift range

Future2 : The new sample set with limited z



Summary

- 1. The study of RM of FSRQs and BLOs can find the relation between RM and the accretion states of central black hole
- We used simultaneous multi-frequency data of 12 blazars from 22 to 86GHz using KVN
- 3. FSRQs show larger RMs than BLOs when compared in observing freq.
- 4. Both FSRQs and BLOs show similar trend in rest-frame frequency.
- 5. FSRQs with larger RMS have higher accretion rates.
- 6. We need more data points in higher frequency.

Reference

Blandford, R. D., & Königl, A. 1979, ApJ, 232, 34 Cao, X., Jiang, D. et al., 1999, MNRAS. 307, 802 Chai, B., Cao, X., et al, 2012, ApJ, 759, 114 Ghisellini, G., Maraschi, L., & Tavecchio, F. 2009, MNRAS, 396, L105 Ghisellini, G., Tavecchio, F., Foschini, L., & Ghirlanda, G. 2011, MNRAS, 414, 2674 Hovatta, T., Lister, M. L., et al. 2012, ApJ, 144, 105 Liu, Y., Jiang, D., et al. 2006, ApJ, 637, 669 Sbarrato, T., Ghisellini, G., et al. 2012, MNRAS. 421, 1764 Shen, Y., et al. 2011, ApJ, 194, 45 Park, J., Kam, M., et al. 2018, ApJ, 860, 112 Park & Trippe, 2017, ApJ, 834, 157 Torrealba, J., Chavushyan, V., Cruz-González, I., et al. 2012, RMxAA, 48, 9 Wang, J.-M., Luo, B., & Ho, L. C. 2004, ApJL, 615, L9 Woo, J.H. & Urry, C.M., 2002, ApJ, 579, 530 Xie G. Z., Zhou S. B., Liang E. W., 2004, AJ, 127, 53 Zavala & Taylor, 2004, ApJ, 612, 749 Zhao, G.-Y., Algaba, J. C., et al. 2018, AJ, 155, 26