Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)



Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)



Large scale view of 4C 50.55 (Molina et al. 2007)

- FR II radio galaxy
- viewing angle ~ 35°
- Unknown which side is approaching

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)



Large scale view of 4C 50.55 (Molina et al. 2007)

- FR II radio galaxy
- viewing angle ~ 35°
- Unknown which side is approaching

Accretion of 4C 50.55 (Tazaki et al. 2010)

- High Eddington ratio (L_{bol}/L_{Edd} ~ 0.4)
- Weak reflection from optically thick disk
- Optically-thick Compton corona

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)



Large scale view of 4C 50.55 (Molina et al. 2007)

- FR II radio galaxy
- viewing angle ~ 35°
- Unknown which side is approaching

Accretion of 4C 50.55 (Tazaki et al. 2010)

- High Eddington ratio (L_{bol}/L_{Edd} ~ 0.4)
- Weak reflection from optically thick disk
- Optically-thick Compton corona

How are FR II jets collimated?

Is AGN jet affected by high-rate accretion and Compton-thick corona?

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)



Large scale view of 4C 50.55 (Molina et al. 2007)

- FR II radio galaxy
- viewing angle ~ 35°
- Unknown which side is approaching

Accretion of 4C 50.55 (Tazaki et al. 2010)

- High Eddington ratio (L_{bol}/L_{Edd} ~ 0.4)
- Weak reflection from optically thick disk
- Optically-thick Compton corona

How are FR II jets collimated?

Is AGN jet affected by high-rate accretion and Compton-thick corona?

Sub-pc/pc scale image is important!

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

First VLBI Images of 4C 50.55



Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

First VLBI Images of 4C 50.55



Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

First VLBI Images of 4C 50.55



- Reconstructed images with the conventional method: CLEAN.
- Bright core and ejected component to the north-west .

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

First VLBI Images of 4C 50.55



- Reconstructed images with the conventional method: CLEAN.
- Bright core and ejected component to the north-west .

Approaching side is northwest!

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

Proper Motion & New-born Component



Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

Proper Motion & New-born Component



C1 component

Apparent velocity ~ 0.8 mas/year ~ 1.2c Intrinsic velocity (θ~35°) ~ 0.8c

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

Proper Motion & New-born Component



C1 component

Apparent velocity ~ 0.8 mas/year ~ 1.2c Intrinsic velocity (θ~35°) ~ 0.8c

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

Proper Motion & New-born Component



C1 component

Apparent velocity ~ 0.8 mas/year ~ 1.2c Intrinsic velocity (θ ~35°) ~ 0.8c

C2 component

New-born jet ~ 1.2 mas from the radio core.

Fumie Tazaki (NAOJ)

Proper Motion & New-born Component





C2 component

New-born jet ~ 1.2 mas from the radio core.

General relativistic radiation magnetohydrodynamics simulations of super-Eddington accretion disks around prograde and retrograde black holes

University of Tsukuba

Aoto Utsumi¹⁾, Ken Ohsuga¹⁾Hiroyuki Takahashi²⁾, Yuta Asahina¹⁾ 1) Univ. of Tsukuba, 2) Komazawa Univ.

We investigate the dependence of luminosity and jet power on spin parameters in Super-Eddington accretion disks.

<u>Overview</u>

Purpose

2.5-dimensional General Relativistic Radiation MagnetoHydroDynamics (GR-RMHD) simulation for $M_{BH}=10M_{\odot}.$

Time-evolution of the density (color) and fluid velocity lines. (edge-on)



Initial maximum mass density $ho_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \ {\rm g \ cm^{-3}}$

The Jet and the outflow and the Supercritical accretion flow are dominant in radiation.

Global structure does not depend on spin parameters.







Retrograde($a^* = -0.9$)

Spin parameter dependence

	$rac{\dot{M}_{BH}}{\dot{M}_{Edd}}$	$rac{\dot{M}_{out}}{\dot{M}_{Edd}}$	η_{tot} [%]	$rac{L_{rad}}{L_{Edd}}$	$\frac{L_{kin}}{L_{Edd}}$	$rac{L_{mag}}{L_{Edd}}$
<i>a</i> * = 0.9	240	19	24	15	27	15
$a^{*} = 0$	410	2.7	3.4	8.0	5.6	0.1
$a^* = -0.9$	720	12	9.0	20	35	10





Profiles of the outward Poynting flux. Blue lines indicate the magnetic field lines.

- $\dot{M}_{BH}(a^* = 0.9) < \dot{M}_{BH}(a^* = 0) < \dot{M}_{BH}(a^* = -0.9)$
- $\dot{M}_{out}(a^* = 0) < \dot{M}_{out}(a^* = -0.9) < \dot{M}_{out}(a^* = 0.9)$ We think that the mass ejection rate is related to the Poynting flux. (The Blandford-Znajek effect)
- $\eta_{tot}(a^* = 0) < \eta_{tot}(a^* = -0.9) < \eta_{tot}(a^* = 0.9)$
- The non-spinning BH : $L_{mag} < L_{kin} < L_{rad}$
- The spinning BH : $L_{rad} \sim L_{mag} < L_{kin}$

If you have any questions, please come to my poster.

RADIATION MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATIONS OF CHANGING LOOK AGNS AND INTERMITTENT JET EJECTION

TAICHI IGARASHI (五十嵐 太一), CHIBA UNIV. YOSHIAKI KATO, RIKEN HIROYUKI TAKAHASHI, KOMAZAWA UNIV. KEN OHSUGA, TSUKUBAI UNIV. YOSUKE MATSUMOTO, CHIBA UNIV. RYOJI MATSUMOTO, CHIBA UNIV.

CHANGING LOOK AGN (CLAGN)



Schematic picture of [「]Unified model of AGN」 Beckmann 2012

Optical image of Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068 NASA, ESA & A. van der Hoeven

CLAGN : SOFT X-RAY EXCESS

AGN ($M_{BH} = 5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$)





- Soft X-ray excess is prominent when the source is bright.
- Similar to the hard-to-soft (soft-to-hard) transition in stellar-mass BH.

State transition exists in the accretion flow in the near region from BH.



- Relatively cool ($\sim 10^7 10^8$ K)
- Non-axisymmetric structure (m=1)
- Strong radiation pressure
- Origin of Soft X-ray emission

RESULT



- Relatively cool region oscillates quasi periodically.
- We reproduce the short time variability.
- The variability follows the cool blob variability.
- Jet ejected intermittently.
- Jet suppressed in the later stage.



list 1444 gamma-ray AGN)



collimation and acceleration of NLS1s

Imaging & Polarization analysis



Imaging & Polarization analysis



Constructing a GRMHD Approximate Solution for AGN Jets

Taiki Ogihara, Kenji Toma (Tohoku Univ.)



"Active Galactic Nucleus Jets in the Event Horizon Telescope Era", 20 Jan. 2020 @Tohoku University



EHT image = Disk Emission



EHT Collaboration







How about Jet Emission? Detection is expected in EHT 2020 observations



Produce jet image with ANALYTICAL JET MODEL

EHT 2017 mock observation

 \bigcirc



EHT 2020 mock observation

Akiyama, Asada, Hada 天文月報 2019 年 7 月号 ASTRO NEWS

<u>Constructing a GRMHD Approximate Solution for AGN Jets</u> Taiki Ogihara, Kenji Toma (Tohoku Univ.)

- method
 - analytically solve GRMHD equations
 - assume poloidal magnetic field structure instead of solving GS equation (trans-field component of EoM)
 - constrain parameters to satisfy GS equation at the stagnation surface
- => derive density, velocity, magnetic field



<u>Constructing a GRMHD Approximate Solution for AGN Jets</u> Taiki Ogihara, Kenji Toma (Tohoku Univ.)

- preliminary results
 - in force-free case, we obtained the appropriate solution at the stagnation surface
- future work
 - expand model to MHD
 - apply radiative transfer and make jet image

